

1. Test of Borel-summation on half-iterate $g(z)$ of $f(z) = \exp(z)-1$ and $g(g(z))=f(z)$ at $z=1$

k	"Standard handwave" sum (1)	x	Borelsum (2)
0	0	1	0.407522314619565819186400765157
1	0.476190476190476190476190476190	2	0.799759762925598692863721071948
2	0.752362639428100165949346099524	3	1.01908443987779069015189021525
3	0.923050527876717167299566027648	4	1.13720236485406900457772408949
4	1.03289231796767618222551176392	5	1.20084366531830464027742410553
5	1.10558310438551565267455758169	6	1.23482260169649441695444633526
6	1.15469209171100397593035484350	7	1.25266418145291395604642178030
7	1.18840535763204389314631546587	8	1.26185864637806098613795087792
8	1.21185032739741380707011983582	9	1.26651312401874568249145429628
9	1.22833039323199882236844617800	10	1.26883204988561525614464699375
10	1.24002094539231147610897490782	11	1.26997136783657473590675490193
11	1.24837993312456182602551593590	12	1.27052435385551596246122324889
12	1.25439869780849919135300652257	13	1.27078988644241333811041175218
13	1.25875955055838927759923344144	14	1.27091616811556608526441805631
14	1.26193702343835432434988886861	15	1.27097570105545584555728520473
...
227	1.2710274138899515214 1690087903	58	1.2710274138899515214 1595811241
228	1.2710274138899515214 1803069632	59	1.27102741388995152142 106871190
229	1.2710274138899515214 1899480480	60	1.27102741388995152142 317669637
230	1.2710274138899515214 1981766088	61	1.271027413889951521424 04520391
231	1.27102741388995152142 052008875	62	1.271027413889951521424 40264332
232	1.27102741388995152142 111982324	63	1.271027413889951521424 54959193
233	1.27102741388995152142 163197109	64	1.271027413889951521424 6 0994145
234	1.27102741388995152142 206940285	65	1.271027413889951521424 6 3470073
235	1.27102741388995152142 244308597	66	1.271027413889951521424 6 4484846
236	1.27102741388995152142 276236799	67	1.271027413889951521424 6 4900350
237	1.27102741388995152142 303521730	68	1.271027413889951521424 65 070318
238	1.27102741388995152142 326842789	69	1.271027413889951521424 651 39781
239	1.27102741388995152142 346779353	70	1.271027413889951521424 651 68144
240	1.27102741388995152142 363825592	71	1.271027413889951521424 651 79714
241	1.27102741388995152142 378403081	72	1.271027413889951521424 6518 4430
242	1.27102741388995152142 390871526	73	1.271027413889951521424 6518 6351
243	1.271027413889951521424 01537905	74	1.271027413889951521424 65187 132
244	1.271027413889951521424 10664239	75	1.271027413889951521424 65187 450
245	1.271027413889951521424 18474224	76	1.271027413889951521424 65187 579
246	1.271027413889951521424 25158861	77	1.271027413889951521424 651876 32
247	1.271027413889951521424 30881275	78	1.271027413889951521424 651876 53
248	1.271027413889951521424 35780798	79	1.271027413889951521424 651876 61
249	1.271027413889951521424 39976468	80	1.271027413889951521424 6518766 5
250	1.271027413889951521424 43569998	81	1.271027413889951521424 6518766 6
251	1.271027413889951521424 46648316	82	1.271027413889951521424 65187667
252	1.271027413889951521424 49285726	83	1.271027413889951521424 65187667
253	1.271027413889951521424 51545750	84	1.271027413889951521424 65187667
254	1.271027413889951521424 53482706	85	1.271027413889951521424 65187667
255	1.271027413889951521424 55143047	86	1.271027413889951521424 65187667
...

"Standard-Handwave"-sum:

experimental Noerlund sum implementation using modified Pascalmatrix

(1) partial sums up to index $k=255$.

Used parameters $PkPowSum(ord=1.445, eord=1.1, n=256)$

Borel sum:

After description in K. Knopp, chap 13

(2) Borel with order $r=2$, using the partial sums as terms (as given in Knopp-formula) using 512 terms for the sum in numerator as well as in denominator

(3) Borel with order $r=2$, using the partial sums as terms (as given in Knopp-formula) using (a)1024,(b)128 terms for the sum in numerator as well as in denominator

x	Borel ^(3a) --> (52 digits correct)
129	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972104
130	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972108
131	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972109
132	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
133	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
134	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
135	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
136	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
137	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110
138	1.2710274138899515214246518766722806879544209972110

Using functional equation to insert $z_{.40}=f^{.40}(1)$ instead of $z=1$

This is allowed *after* we have shown, that the Borel-summation really transforms $g(z)$ into a convergent series-expression: that means the growthrate of the coefficients of the formal powerseries of $g(z)$ *has been shown* to be not more than $O(c^k k!)$.

x	Borel ^(3b) using $f^{.40}(g(z_{.40}))$ (gives 70 digits)
169	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179010
170	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179015
171	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179017
172	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179018
173	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
174	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
175	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
176	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
177	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
178	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
179	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019
180	1.271027413889951521424651876672280687954420997211029019213648699179019